FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH SOME SUBCLASSES OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

Somia M.Amsheri
Elmergib University, Faculty of Science,
Department of Mathematics
somia_amsheri@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce new subclasses of starlike functions in the open unit disk by using fractional derivative operator. We obtain coefficient inequalities and distortion theorems for functions belonging to these subclasses. Further results include distortion theorems (involving the generalized fractional derivative operator). The radii of convexity for functions belonging to these subclasses are also studied.

Keywords: univalent functions; starlike functions; convex functions; fractional derivative operators.

Mathematics subject classification: 30C45, 26A33

1- Introduction

Two interesting subclasses $T^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of univalent starlike functions with negative coefficients in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}$ were introduced by Srivastava and Owa[10]. These classes become the subclasses of the class $K(\alpha,\beta)$ which was introduced by Gupta [1] when the function $f(z)$ is univalent with negative coefficients. Using the results of Srivastava and Owa[10], Srivastava and Owa[11] have obtained several distortion theorems involving fractional derivatives and fractional integrals of functions belonging to the classes $T^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Fractional calculus operators have recently found interesting applications in the theory of analytic functions (see e.g. [2, 4, 8, 9]). In the present paper, by making use of a certain fractional derivative operator, we introduce new subclasses $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ (defined below) of starlike functions with negative coefficients.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives preliminary details and definitions of starlike functions, convex functions and fractional derivative operators. In Section 3 we describe coefficient inequalities for the functions belonging to the subclasses $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. Section 4 considers the distortion properties. Its further distortion properties (involving the generalized fractional derivative operator) are discussed in section 5. Finally, in section 6 we determine the radii of convexity for functions belonging to these subclasses of starlike functions.

2- Preliminaries And Definitions

Let A denote the class of functions defined by

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \qquad (2.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Further, let S denote the class of all functions in A which are univalent in $\mathcal{U}$. Then a function $f(z)$ belonging to the class S is said to be starlike of order α if and only if (see, e.g. , [2,5])

$$\text{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha , \qquad z \in \mathcal{U} \qquad (2.2)$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. We denote by $S^*(\alpha)$ the class of all starlike functions of order α.A function $f(z)$ belonging to the class S is said to be convex of order α if and only if

$$\text{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \qquad z \in \mathcal{U} \qquad (2.3)$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. We denote by $K(\alpha)$ the class of all convex functions of order α.Note that $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$, and that,

$$S^*(\alpha) \subseteq S^*(0) = S^*,$$
$$K(\alpha) \subseteq K(0) = K,$$

and

$$K(\alpha) \subset S^*(\alpha) \subset S$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.The classes $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ were first introduced by Robertson[5].

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n (a_n \geq 0) \qquad (2.4)$$

We denote by $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$, respectively, the classes obtained by taking the intersections of $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ with T, that is

$$T^*(\alpha) = S^*(\alpha) \cap T$$

and

$$C(\alpha) = K(\alpha) \cap T$$

The classes $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ were introduced by Silverman [6].

Let $_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ be the Gauss hypergeometric function defined, for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ by; (see[7]).

$$_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n z^n}{(c)_n n!} \qquad (2.5)$$

where $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & , & n = 0 \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \dots (\lambda + n - 1) & , & n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

for $\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

Now we recall the following definitions of fractional derivative operators, adopted for working in classes of analytic functions in complex plane as follows see ([2,4]).

Definition 2.1. *The fractional derivative of order λ is defined by*

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^\lambda} d\xi \qquad (2.6)$$

where $0 \le \lambda < 1$, $f(z)$ *is analytic function in a simply- connected region of the z-plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of* $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ *is removed by requiring* log $(z-\xi)$ *to be real when* $z - \xi > 0$.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $0 \le \lambda < 1$, *and* $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then, in terms of the familiar Gauss's hypergeometric function* $_2F_1$, *the generalized fractional derivative operator* $J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$ *is*

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{z^{\lambda-\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \int_0^z (z-\xi)^{-\lambda} f(\xi) \, _2F_1 \left(\mu - \lambda, 1-\eta; 1-\lambda; 1-\frac{\xi}{z} \right) d\xi \right) (2.7)$$

where $f(z)$ *is analytic function in a simply- connected region of the z-plane containing the origin with the order* $f(z) = O(|z|^\varepsilon)$, $z \to 0$, *where* $\varepsilon > max\{0, \mu - \eta\} - 1$, *and the multiplicity of* $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ *is removed by requiring* log $(z-\xi)$ *to be real when* $z - \xi > 0$.

Notice that

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\lambda,\eta} f(z) = D_z^\lambda f(z), \qquad 0 \le \lambda < 1 \qquad (2.8)$$

Now we define the following classes of starlike functions based on fractional derivative operator.

Definition 2.3. *The function* $f(z) \in T$ *is said to be in the class* $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ *if*

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z\left(P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)'}{g(z)} - 1}{\frac{z\left(P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)'}{g(z)} + 1 - 2\beta} \right| < \gamma \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \qquad (2.9)$$

$$(\lambda \ge 0, \mu < 2 \,; \eta > \max(\lambda, \mu) - 2 \,; 0 \le \alpha < 1; 0 \le \beta < 1; 0 < \gamma \le 1)$$

for the function

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n (b_n \ge 0) \qquad (2.10)$$

belonging to $T^*(\alpha)$. *Dented by* $P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)$ *the modification of the fractional derivative operator which is defined in terms of* $J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$ *as follows:*

$$P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2-\lambda+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\mu+\eta)} z^\mu J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \qquad (2.11)$$

Further, if $f(z) \in T$ *satisfies the condition* (2.9) *for* $g(z) \in C(\alpha)$, *we say that* $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

The above-defined classes $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are of special interest and they contain well-known classes of analytic functions. In particular, in view of (2.11), we find that

$$P_{0,z}^{0,0,\eta} f(z) = f(z) \qquad (2.12)$$

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

Thus, for $\lambda = \mu = 0$, we have

$$T_{0,0,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = T^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

and

$$C_{0,0,\eta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

where $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are the subclasses of starlike functions which were studied by Srivastava and Owa[10], andSrivastava and Owa[11].

In order to prove our results we shall need the following lemmas for the classes $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ due to Silverman [6]:

Lemma 2.4. *Let the function $g(z)$ defined by (2.10). Then $g(z)$ is in the class $T^*(\alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \alpha)b_n \leq 1 - \alpha \qquad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let the function $g(z)$ defined by (2.10). Then $g(z)$ is in the class $C(\alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n - \alpha)b_n \leq 1 - \alpha \qquad (2.14)$$

We mention to the following known result which shall be used in the sequel (see Raina and Srivastava[4]).

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\lambda, \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\lambda \geq 0$ and $k > max\{0, \mu - \eta\} - 1$ then*

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k - \mu + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(k - \mu + 1)\Gamma(k - \lambda + \eta + 1)} z^{k-\mu} \qquad (2.15)$$

3- Coefficient Inequalities

Theorem 3.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (2.4). If $f(z)$ belongs to the class $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\psi(n)n(1 + \gamma)a_n - \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma + 2\gamma\beta)}{n - \alpha} \right] \leq 2\gamma(1 - \beta) \qquad (3.1)$$

where

$$\psi(n) = \frac{(2)_{n-1}(2 + \eta - \mu)_{n-1}}{(2 - \mu)_{n-1}(2 + \eta - \lambda)_{n-1}} , \qquad n \geq 2 \qquad (3.2)$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.6, we have from (2.4) and (2.11) that

$$P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_{n-1}(2 + \eta - \mu)_{n-1}}{(2 - \mu)_{n-1}(2 + \eta - \lambda)_{n-1}} a_n z^n$$

Since$f(z) \in T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, there exist a function $g(z)$ belonging to the class $T^*(\alpha)$ such that

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

$$\left| \frac{z\left(P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)' - g(z)}{z\left(P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)' + (1 - 2\beta)g(z)} \right| < \gamma, \quad z \in \mathcal{U} \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\psi(n)na_n - b_n] z^{n-1}}{2(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\psi(n)na_n + (1 - 2\beta)b_n] z^{n-1}} \right\} < \gamma \tag{3.4}$$

Choosing values of z on the real axis so that $\dfrac{z\left(P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)\right)'}{g(z)}$ is real, and letting $z \to 1^-$ through real axis, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\psi(n)na_n - b_n] \leq \gamma \left\{ 2(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\psi(n)na_n + (1 - 2\beta)b_n] \right\}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[\psi(n)n(1 + \gamma)a_n - (1 - \gamma + 2\gamma\beta)b_n] \leq 2\gamma(1 - \beta) \tag{3.5}$$

Note that, by using Lemma 2.4, $g(z) \in T^*(\alpha)$ implies

$$b_n \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{n - \alpha}, \qquad n \geq 2 \tag{3.6}$$

Making use of (3.6) in (3.5), we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (2.4) be in the class $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{2\gamma(1 - \beta)(n - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma + 2\gamma\beta)}{\psi(n)n(1 + \gamma)(n - \alpha)}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3.7}$$

where $\psi(n)$ is given by (3.2). The result (3.7) is sharp for a function of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\gamma(1 - \beta)(n - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma + 2\gamma\beta)}{\psi(n)n(1 + \gamma)(n - \alpha)} z^n, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3.8}$$

with respect to

$$g(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{n - \alpha} z^n, \qquad n \geq 2 \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 1. Letting $\lambda = \mu = 0$, and $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 3.2, we obtain a result was proved by [Gupta [1], Theorem 3].

In a similar manner, Lemma 2.5 can be used to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (2.4) be in the class $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[\psi(n)n(1 + \gamma)a_n - \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma + 2\gamma\beta)}{n(n - \alpha)}\right] \leq 2\gamma(1 - \beta) \tag{3.10}$$

where $\psi(n)$ is given by (3.2).

Corollary 3.4. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (2.4) be in the class $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then*

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

$$a_n \leq \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)n(n-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)}{\psi(n)n^2(1+\gamma)(n-\alpha)}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3.11}$$

where $\psi(n)$ is given by (3.2). The result (3.11) is sharp for a function of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)n(n-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)}{\psi(n)n^2(1+\gamma)(n-\alpha)}z^n, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3.12}$$

with respect to

$$g(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{n(n-\alpha)}z^n, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3.13}$$

4- Distortion Properties

Next, we state and prove results concerning distortion properties of $f(z)$ belonging to the classes $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\lambda,\mu,\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda \geq 0; \mu < 2 ; \eta \geq \lambda\left(\frac{\mu-3}{\mu}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

Also, let $f(z)$ defined by (2.4) be in the class $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. Then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z|^2, \tag{4.2}$$

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z|^2, \tag{4.3}$$

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - 2A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z|, \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + 2A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z| \tag{4.5}$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, provided that $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, where

$$A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{2\gamma(1-\beta) + (1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)\}}{4(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} \tag{4.6}$$

The estimates for $|f(z)|$ and $|f'(z)|$ are sharp.

Proof. We observe that the function $\psi(n)$ defined by (3.2) satisfy the inequality $\psi(n) \leq \psi(n+1)$, $\forall n \geq 2$, provided that $\eta \geq \lambda\left(\frac{\mu-3}{\mu}\right)$, thereby, showing that $\psi(n)$ is non-decreasing. Thus under the conditions stated in (4.1), we have

$$0 < \frac{2(2+\eta-\mu)}{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)} = \psi(2) \leq \psi(n), \quad \forall n \geq 2 \tag{4.7}$$

For $f(z) \in T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, (3.5) implies

$$2\psi(2)(1+\gamma)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n - (1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq 2\gamma(1-\beta) \tag{4.8}$$

For $g(z) \in T^*(\alpha)$, Lemma 2.4 yields

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \tag{4.9}$$

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4ᵗʰ Issue December 2017

So that (4.8) reduces to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)\}}{4(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}$$

$$= A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \tag{4.10}$$

Consequently,

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \tag{4.12}$$

On using (4.11), (4.12) and (4.10), we easily arrive at the desired results (4.2) and (4.3).
Furthermore, we note from (3.5) that

$$\psi(2)(1+\gamma) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n - (1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq 2\gamma(1-\beta) \tag{4.13}$$

which, in view of (4.9), becomes

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \leq \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)\}}{2(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}$$

$$= 2A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \tag{4.14}$$

Thus, we have

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\, a_n \tag{4.16}$$

On using (4.15), (4.16) and (4.14), we arrive at the desired results (4.4) and (4.5).
Finally, we can prove that the estimates for $|f(z)|$ and $|f'(z)|$ are sharp by taking the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)\}}{4(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} z^2 \tag{4.17}$$

with respect to

$$g(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} z^2 \tag{4.18}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

Corollary 4.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (2.4) be in the class* $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then the unit disk* $\mathcal{U}$ *is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk* $|w| < r_1$, *where*

$$r_1 = 1 - \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)\}}{4(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} \qquad (4.19)$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function defined by (4.17).

Remark 2. Letting $\lambda = \mu = 0$, and $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain a result was proved by [Gupta [1], Theorem 4].

Theorem 4.3. *Under the conditions stated in (4.1), let the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.4)be in the class* $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then*

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z|^2, \qquad (4.20)$$
$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z|^2, \qquad (4.21)$$
$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - 2B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z|, \qquad (4.22)$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + (p+1)B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)|z| \qquad (4.23)$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, *provided that* $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ *and* $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, *where*

$$B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{4\gamma(1-\beta)(2-\alpha)+(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)\}}{8(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} \qquad (4.24)$$

The estimates for $|f(z)|$ *and* $|f'(z)|$ *are sharp.*
Proof. By using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} \qquad (4.25)$$

since $g(z) \in C(\alpha)$, the assertions (4.20), (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23) of Theorem 4.3 follow if we apply (4.25) to (3.5).The estimates for $|f(z)|$ and $|f'(z)|$ are attained by the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{4\gamma(1-\beta)(2-\alpha)+(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)\}}{8(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}z^2 \qquad (4.26)$$

with respect to

$$g(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)}z^2 \qquad (4.27)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. □
Corollary 4.4. *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (2.4) be in the class* $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then the unit disk* $\mathcal{U}$ *is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk* $|w| < r_2$, *where*

$$r_2 = 1 - \frac{(2-\mu)(2+\eta-\lambda)\{4\gamma(1-\beta)(2-\alpha)+(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)\}}{8(2+\eta-\mu)(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} \qquad (4.28)$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function defined by (4.26).

5- Further Distortion Properties

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

We next prove two further distortion theorems involving generalized fractional derivative operator $J_{0,Z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\lambda \geq 0; \mu < 2; \eta > max(\lambda,\mu) - 2$. *Also let the function* $f(z)$ *be defined by* (2.4) *be in the class* $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^{*}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then*

$$\left|J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)\right| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)}|z|^{1-\mu}\left\{1 - \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}|z|\right\} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\left|J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)\right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)}|z|^{1-\mu}\left\{1 + \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}|z|\right\} \quad (5.2)$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. *The results* (5.1) *and* (5.2) *are sharp.*

Proof. Consider the function $P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)$ defined by (2.11). With the aid of (4.7) and (4.14) we find that

$$\left|P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)\right| \geq |z| - \psi(2)|z|^2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}na_n$$

$$\geq |z| - \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}|z|^2 \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\left|P_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)\right| \leq |z| + \psi(2)|z|^2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}na_n$$

$$\leq |z| + \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}|z|^2 \quad (5.4)$$

which yields the inequality (5.1) and (5.2) of Theorem 5.1.

Finally, by taking the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)}z^{1-\mu}\left\{1 - \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}z\right\} \quad (5.5)$$

The results (5.1) and (5.2) are easily seen to be sharp. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let the function* $f(z)$ *defined by* (2.4) *be in the class* $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^{*}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then* $J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)$ *is included in a disk with its centre at the origin and radius* r_3 *given by*

$$r_3 = \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)}\left\{1 + \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)+(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}\right\} \quad (5.6)$$

Similarly we can establish the following result:

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $\lambda \geq 0; \mu < 2; \eta > max(\lambda,\mu) - 2$, *and let the function* $f(z)$ *be defined by* (2.4) *be in the class* $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then*

$$\left|J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z)\right| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)}|z|^{1-\mu}\left\{1 - \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)(2-\alpha)+(1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)}|z|\right\} \quad (5.7)$$

and

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4ᵗʰ Issue December 2017

$$\left| J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)} |z|^{1-\mu} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)(2-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} |z| \right\} \tag{5.8}$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. *The results (5.7) and (5.8) are sharp.*

Corollary 5.4. *Let the function* $f(z)$ *defined by (2.4) be in the class* $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then* $J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z)$ *is included in a disk with its centre at the origin and radius* r_4 *given by*

$$r_4 = \frac{\Gamma(2+\eta-\mu)}{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2+\eta-\lambda)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\gamma(1-\beta)(2-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)(1-\gamma+2\gamma\beta)}{(1+\gamma)(2-\alpha)} \right\} \tag{5.9}$$

Remark 3. Letting $\mu = \lambda$ and using the relationship (2.9) in Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2, Theorem 5.3, and Corollary 5.4, we obtain the results which were proved by [Srivastava and Owa [11], Theorem 5, Corollary 3, Theorem 6, and Corollary 4, respectively].

6- Convexity Of Functions

In view of Lemma 2.4, we know that the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.4) is starlike in the unit disk $\mathcal{U}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq 1 \tag{6.1}$$

for $f(z) \in T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, we find from (3.5) and (4.9) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq 2A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \leq 1 \tag{6.2}$$

where $A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is defined by (4.6). Furthermore, for $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq 2B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \leq 1 \tag{6.3}$$

where $B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is defined by (4.24). Thus we observe that $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ are subclasses of starlike functions. Naturally, therefore, we are interested in finding the radii of convexity for functions in $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. We first state:

Theorem 6.1. *Let the function* $f(z)$ *defined by (2.4) be in the class* $T_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}^*(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. *Then* $f(z)$ *is convex in the disk* $|z| < r_5$, *where*

$$r_5 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n \, A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \right\}^{1/(n-1)} \tag{6.4}$$

and $A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ *is given by (4.6). The result is sharp.*

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad , \quad |z| < r_5 \tag{6.5}$$

Indeed we have

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4ᵗʰ Issue December 2017

$$\left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| = \left|\frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}}\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\, a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1}} \tag{6.6}$$

Hence (6.5) is true if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\, a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1} \tag{6.7}$$

that is, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2\, a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 \tag{6.8}$$

with the aid of (4.14), (6.8) is true if

$$n|z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{2A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \quad , \quad n \geq 2 \tag{6.9}$$

Solving (6.9) for $|z|$, we get

$$|z| \leq \left\{\frac{1}{2n\, A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\right\}^{1/(n-1)} \quad , \quad n \geq 2 \tag{6.10}$$

Finally, since $n^{-1/(n-1)}$ is an increasing function for integers $n \geq 2$, we have (6.5) for $|z| < r_5$, where r_5 is given by (6.4).

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 6.1, we note that the result is sharp for the function $f(z) \in T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2A_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}{n} z^n \quad , \quad n \geq 2 \tag{6.11}$$

□

Similarly, we can prove the next theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.4) be in the class $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex in the disk $|z| < r_6$, where*

$$r_6 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{\frac{1}{2n\, B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\right\}^{1/(n-1)} \tag{6.12}$$

and $B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is given by (4.24). The result is sharp for the function $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2B_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}{n} z^n \quad , \quad n \geq 2 \tag{6.13}$$

7- Conclusion

We have studied new classes $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ of univalent functions with negative coefficients defined by a certain fractional derivative operator in the unit disk $\mathcal{U}$. We

Journal of Humanitarian, Scientific and Social Sciences
Elmergib University – Faculty of Arts and Sciences Kasr Khiar
4th Issue December 2017

obtained the sufficient conditions for the function $f(z)$ to be in $T^*_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ and $C_{\lambda,\mu,\eta}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.In addition, we derived a number of distortion theorems of functions belonging to these classes as well as distortion theorems for a certain fractional derivative operator of functions in theclasses. Also, we have determined the radii of convexity for functions belonging to these classes.

Some of the known results follow as particular cases from our results; see for example, Gupta [1]; Srivastava and Owa[10] and Srivastava and Owa [11].

References

[1] Gupta, V. P. (1984): convex class of starlike functions, Yokohama Math. J. **32**, pp. 55-59.

[2] Owa, S. (1978): On the distortion theorems. I, Kyungpook Math. J. **18**, pp. 53-59.

[3] Owa, S. ; Srivastava, H. M. (1987): Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math. **39**, pp. 1057-1077.

[4] Raina, R. K. ; Srivastava, H. M. (1996): A certain subclass of analytic functions associated with operators of fractional calculus, Comput. Math. Appl. **32**, pp. 13-19.

[5] Robertson, M. S. (1936): On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. **37**, pp. 374-408.

[6] Silverman, H. (1975):Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51**, pp. 109-116.

[7] Srivastava, H. M. ; Karlsson, P. W. (1985): Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), Wiley, New York/Chichester/Brisbane/Toronto.

[8] Srivastava, H. M. ; Owa, S. (Eds) (1989): Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and their applications, Halsted Press/Ellis Horwood Limited/John Wiley and Sons, New York/Chichester/Brisbane/Toronto.

[9] Srivastava, H. M. ; Owa, S. (Eds) (1992): Current topics in analytic function theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey London and Hong Kong.

[10] Srivastava, H. M. ; Owa, S. (1991): Certain subclasses of starlike functions - I, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **161**, pp. 405-415.

[11] Srivastava, H. M. ; Owa, S. (1991): Certain subclasses of starlike functions - II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **161**, pp. 416-425.