Fekete-Szegö inequality for Certain Subclass of Analytic Functions

Aisha Ahmed Amer Al-Margib University, Faculty of Science Mathmatics Department eamer_80@yahoo.com

Abstract:

In this present work, the author obtain Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain classes of parabolic starlike and uniformly convex functions involving certain generalized derivative operator defined in [1].

1 Introduction

Let A denote the class of all analytic functions in the open unit disk

$$\mathbf{U} = \{ z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1 \},\$$

and Let H be the class of functions f in A given by the normalized power series

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (z \in U).$$
 (1)

Let S denote the class of functions which are univalent in U.

A function f in H is said to be uniformly convex in U if f is a univalent convex function along with the property that, for every circular arc γ contained in U, with centre γ also in U, the image curve $f(\gamma)$ is a convex arc. Therefore, the class of uniformly convex functions is denoted by UCV (see [3]).

It is a common fact from [12], [13] that, for $z \in U$, that

$$f \in UCV \Leftrightarrow \left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| \leq \Re\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)}\} \quad , (z \in U).$$

$$(2)$$

Condition (2) implies that

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)},$$

lies in the interior of the parabolic region

$$R := \{ w : w = u + iv \quad and \quad v^2 < 2u - 1 \},\$$

73

for every value of $z \in U$. Let

$$P := \{p : p \in A; p(0) = 1 \text{ and } \Re(p(z)) > 0; z \in U\},\$$

and

$$PAR := \{p : p \in P \text{ and } p(U) \subset R\}.$$

A function f in H is said to be in the class of parabolic starlike functions, denoted by SP (cf. [13]), if

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \in R \quad , (z \in \mathbf{U}).$$

Let the functions f given by (1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, (z \in U),$$

then the Hadamard product (convolution) of f and g, defined by :

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, (z \in U).$$

Now, $(x)_k$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined by

$$(x)_{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & for \quad k = 0, \\ x(x+1)(x+2)...(x+k-1) & for \quad k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3,...\}. \end{cases}$$

The authors in [1] have recently introduced a new generalized derivative operator $I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z)$ as the following:

to derive our generalized derivative operator, we define the analytic function $\varphi^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda_{1}(k-1)+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2}(k-1))^{m}} z^{k},$ (3)

where $m \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $\lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0, l \ge 0$.

Definition 1 For $f \in A$ the operator $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)$ is defined by $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n): A \to A$

$$I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = \varphi^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z) * R^{n}f(z), \qquad (z \in \mathbf{U}),$$
(4)

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $\lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0, l \ge 0$, and $R^n f(z)$ denotes the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [4], and given by

$$R^{n}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(n,k) a_{k} z^{k}, (n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $c(n,k) = \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}}$.

If $f \in H$, then the generalized derivative operator is defined by

$$I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda_{1}(k-1)+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2}(k-1))^{m}}c(n,k)a_{k}z^{k},$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, \text{ and } \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0, l \ge 0, c(n, k) = \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}}.$

Special cases of this operator includes:

• the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [4] in the cases:

$$I^{1}(\lambda_{1},0,l,n) \equiv I^{1}(\lambda_{1},0,0,n) \equiv I^{1}(0,0,l,n) \equiv I^{0}(0,\lambda_{2},0,n)$$
$$\equiv I^{0}(0,0,0,n) \equiv I^{m+1}(0,0,l,n) \equiv I^{m+1}(0,0,0,n) \equiv R^{n}.$$

- the $S\hat{a} l\hat{a}$ gean derivative operator [5]:
- the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator [6]: $I^2(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv R_{\lambda}^n$

 $I^{m+1}(1,0,0,0) \equiv S^{n}$

• the generalized $S\hat{a} l\hat{a}$ gean derivative operator introduced by Al-Oboudi [7]: $I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv S^n_{\beta}$,

• the generalized Al-Shaqsi and Darus derivative operator[8]: $I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv D_{\lambda,\beta}^n$

• the Al-Abbadi and Darus generalized derivative operator [9]: $I^{m}(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 0, n) \equiv \mu_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}^{n, m}$

and finally

• the Catas drivative operator [10]: $I^{m}(\lambda_{1}, 0, l, n) \equiv I^{m}(\lambda, \beta, l)$.

Using simple computation one obtains the next result.

$$(l+1)I^{m+1}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (l+1)I^{m+1}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (l+1)I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (l+1)I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (l+1)I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z) = (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)*\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)+\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)+\varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1})[I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)]f(z) + (1+l-\lambda_{1$$

$$\lambda_{1} z \left[(I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)^{*} \varphi^{l}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z) \right]^{\prime}.$$
(5)

Where $(z \in U)$ and $\varphi^{1}(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, l)(z)$ analytic function and from (3) given by

$$\varphi^{1}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_{2}(k-1))} z^{k}$$

Definition 2 Let $SP^{m}(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, l, n)$ be the class of functions $f \in H$ satisfying the inequality :

$$\left|\frac{z\left(I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z)\right)'}{I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z)}-1\right| \leq \Re\{\frac{z\left(I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z)\right)'}{I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z)}\}, \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}).$$
(6)

Note that many other operators are studied by many different authors, see for example [19, 20, 21]. There are times, functions are associated with linear operators and create new classes (see for example [18]). Many results are considered with numerous properties are solved and obtained.

However, in this work we will give sharp upper bounds for the Fekete-Szegö problem. It is well known that Fekete and Szegö [14] obtained sharp upper bounds for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for the case $f \in S$ and μ is real. The bounds have been studied by many since the last two decades and the problems are still being popular among the writers. For different subclasses of S, the Fekete-Szegö problem has been investigated by many authors including [14, 12, 15, 16, 17], few to list. For a brief history of the Fekete-Szegö problem see [17]. In the present paper we completely solved the Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $SP^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)$ defined by using $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)$.

2 Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $SP^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)$

Here we obtain sharp upper bounds for the Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for functions *f* belonging to the class $SP^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)$,

Let the function f, given by

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots, (z \in \mathbf{U}), \tag{7}$$

be in the class $SP^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)$. Then by geometric interpretation there exists a function w satisfying the conditions of the Schwarz 'lemma such that

$$\frac{z\left(I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)'f(z)\right)}{I^{m}(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},l,n)f(z)} = q(w(z)), \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}).$$

76

It can be verified that the Riemann map q of U onto the region R, satisfying q(0) = 1 and $q_0(0) > 0$, is given by

$$q(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} (\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}})^2,$$

= $1 + \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2k+1}) z^n,$
= $1 + \frac{8}{\pi^2} (z + \frac{2}{3} z^2 + \frac{23}{45} z^3 + \frac{44}{105} z^4 + ...), \quad (z \in \mathbf{U}).$

Let the function P_1 in P be defined by

$$p_{1}(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1+c_{1}z+c_{2}z^{2}+\cdots, \qquad (z \in \mathbf{U}).$$

Then by using

$$w(z) = \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1},$$

we obtain

$$a_{2} = \frac{4(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)}c_{1},$$

and

$$a_{3} = \frac{4(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} (c_{2} - \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{6}(1-\frac{24}{\pi^{2}})).$$

These expressions shall be used throughout the rest of the paper.

In order to prove our result we have to recall the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 [11] If $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in U, then

$$|c_{2} - vc_{1}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} -4v + 2 & \text{if } v \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\ 4v + 2 & \text{if } v \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z)$ is $\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z)$ is $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}a\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \le a < 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If v = 1, the equality holds if and only if $p_1(z)$ is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case of v = 0. Also the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when 0 < v < 1:

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v |c_1| \le 2,$$
 $(0 < v \le \frac{1}{2}),$

and

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1 - v) |c_1| \le 2,$$
 $(\frac{1}{2} < v \le 1).$

Lemma 2 [2] Let h be analytic in U with $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ and be given by $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...,$ for $z \in U$, then

$$|c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}| \le 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}.$$

Lemma 3 [11] Let $h \in P$ where $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$.

Then

$$|c_n| \leq 2, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $|c_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu c_1^2| \le 2 + \frac{1}{2}(|\mu - 1| - 1)|c_1|^2$.

Theorem 1 If f be given by (1) and belongs to the class $SP^{m}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)$. Then, $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le 1$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{16(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[\frac{4\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}(k-1)+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{\pi^{2}}\right] & \text{if} \quad \mu \leq \sigma_{1}, \\ \frac{8(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} & \text{if} \quad \sigma_{1} \leq \mu \geq \sigma_{2}, \\ \frac{16(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} - \frac{4\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}(k-1)+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}\right] & \text{if} \quad \mu \leq \sigma_{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$(8)$$

where

$$\sigma_{1} = \frac{(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(n+1)}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+2)}(1+\frac{5\pi^{2}}{24}),$$
(9)

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(1+2\lambda_2)^m (1+\lambda_1+l)^{2(m-1)}(n+1)}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^{2m} (1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}(n+2)} (1-\frac{\pi^2}{24}).$$
(10)

each of the estimates in (8) is sharp.

Proof: An easy computation shows that

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| = \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \\ \left| \left(\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \right) c_{1}^{2} - 2c_{2} \right|$$
(11)

$$\leq \frac{2(1+t) - (1+2\lambda_2)}{\pi^2 (1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1} (n+1)(n+2)} \left[\left(\frac{8\mu (1+l)^{m-1} (1+\lambda_2)^{2m} (1+2\lambda_1+l)(n+2)}{\pi^2 (1+\lambda_1+l)^{2(m-1)} (1+2\lambda_2)^m (n+1)} - \frac{5}{3} - \frac{8}{\pi^2} \right) |c_1|^2 + 2|c_1^2 - c_2| \right].$$
(12)

If $\mu \ge \sigma_1$, then the expression inside the first modulus on the right-hand side of (12) is nonnegative.

Thus, by applying Lemma 3, we get

$$=\frac{16(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m}{\pi^2(1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)}$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{4\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^{2m}(1+2\lambda_1+l)(n+2)}{\pi^2(1+\lambda_1+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_2)^m(n+1)} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right)\right],\tag{13}$$

which is the assertion (8). Equality in (13) holds true if and only if $|c_1|=2$. Thus the function f is k(z;0;1) or one of its rotations for $\mu > \sigma_1$.

Next, if $\mu \leq \sigma_2$, then we rewrite (11) as

$$\begin{split} |a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| &= \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \\ &\left| \left(\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \right) c_{1}^{2} - 2c_{2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{16(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left| \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} - \frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} \right) \right|. \end{split}$$

The estimates $|c_2| \le 2$ and $|c_1| \le 2$, after simplification, yield the second part of the assertion (8), in which equality holds true if and only if f is a rotation of k(z;0;1) for $\mu < \sigma_2$,. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then equality holds true if and only if $|c_2| = 2$. In this case, we have

$$p_1(z) = \left(\frac{1+\nu}{2}\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \le \nu < 1; z \in \mathbf{U}).$$

Therefore the extremal function f is k(z;0;v) or one of its rotations.

Similarly, $\mu = \sigma_1$, is equivalent to

$$\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^{2m}(1+2\lambda_1+l)(n+2)}{\pi^2(1+\lambda_1+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_2)^m(n+1)} - \frac{5}{3} - \frac{8}{\pi^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, equality holds true if and only if $|c_1^2 - c_2| = 2$. This happens if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_1(z)} = \left(\frac{1+\nu}{2}\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad , (0 \le \nu < 1; z \in \mathbf{U}).$$

Thus the extremal function f is $k(z; \pi; v)$ or one of its rotations.

Finally, we see

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| = \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)}$$
$$\left|2(c_{2} - \frac{1}{2}c_{1}^{2}) + \left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}\right)c_{1}^{2}\right|,$$

and

$$\max\left|\frac{8}{\pi^2} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^{2m}(1+2\lambda_1+l)(n+2)}{\pi^2(1+\lambda_1+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_2)^m(n+1)}\right| \le 1, \qquad (\sigma_1 \le \mu \ge \sigma_2).$$

Therefore, using Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| &\leq \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \Bigg[2(2-\frac{1}{2}|c_{1}|^{2}) + |c_{1}|^{2} \Bigg] \\ &= \frac{8(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} , & \text{if} \qquad \sigma_{1} \leq \mu \geq \sigma_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then equality holds true if and only if $|c_1| = 0$ and $|c_2| = 0$. Equivalently, we have

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+vz^2}{1-vz^2}$$
, $(0 \le v \le 1; z \in U).$

Thus the extremal function f is k(z;0;0) or one of its rotations.

3 IMPROVEMENT OF THE ESTIMATION

Theorem 2 If $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$, then in view of Lemma , Theorem can be improved as follows:

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| + \left(\mu - \frac{(1 + \lambda_{1} + l)^{2(m-1)}(1 + 2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}{(1 + l)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_{2})^{m}(1 + 2\lambda_{1} + l)^{m-1}(n+2)}(1 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{24})\right)|a_{2}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{8(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m}{\pi^2(1+2\lambda_1(k-1)+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \quad (\sigma_2 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3),$$

and

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| + \left(\frac{(1 + \lambda_{1} + l)^{2(m-1)}(1 + 2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}{(1 + l)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_{2})^{m}(1 + 2\lambda_{1} + l)^{m-1}(n+2)}(1 + \frac{5\pi^{2}}{24}) - \mu\right)|a_{2}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{8(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m}{\pi^2(1+2\lambda_1(k-1)+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \quad (\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_1).$$

where σ_1 and σ_2 are given, as before, by (9), (10), and

$$\sigma_{3} = \frac{(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(n+1)}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+2)}(1+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}).$$

Proof: For the values of $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_3$, and from Lemma 2 we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1) |a_2|^2 \le$$

$$\frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[2(2-\frac{1}{2}|c_{1}|^{2}) + \left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} \right) |c_{1}|^{2} \right] + \frac{16(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{4}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[\frac{\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)} - (1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{24}) \right] |c_{1}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[4-|c_{1}|^{2} + \left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}\right)|c_{1}|^{2} + \left(\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} - \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}\right)|c_{1}|^{2}\right]$$

$$=\frac{8(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m}{\pi^2(1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

Similarly, if $\sigma_2 \le \mu \le \sigma_3$, we can write

$$\begin{split} |a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}|+(\sigma_{2}-\mu)|a_{2}|^{2} &\leq \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \\ \left[2(2-\frac{1}{2}|c_{1}|^{2})+(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{2}{3}-\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2}(k-1))^{m}(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}(k-1)+l)^{m-1}(n+1)})|c_{1}|^{2}\right] \\ &+\frac{16(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{4}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[1+\frac{5\pi^{2}}{24}-\frac{\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}\right]|c_{1}|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)^{m-1}(n+1)(n+2)} \left[4-|c_{1}|^{2}+(\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)}-\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{2}{3})|c_{1}|^{2} \\ &+(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{5}{3}-\frac{8\mu(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_{2})^{2m}(1+2\lambda_{1}+l)(n+2)}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)})|c_{1}|^{2}\right] \\ &=\frac{8(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}}{\pi^{2}(1+\lambda_{1}+l)^{2(m-1)}(1+2\lambda_{2})^{m}(n+1)} . \end{split}$$

References

[1] A. A. Amer and M. Darus, On some properties for new generalized derivative operator, *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS)*, **4**(2) (2011), 91-101.

[2] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, G"ottingen, (1975).

[3] A.W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 56, 87-92 (1991).

[4] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol. 49, 1975, pp. 109-115.

[5] G. S.S \hat{a} l \hat{a} gean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.* (Springer-Verlag), 1013, (1983), 362-372.

[6] K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus, An operator defined by convolution involving polylogarithms functions, *J. Math. Stat.*, 4(1), (2008), 46-50.

[7] F.M. AL-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalised S \hat{a} l \hat{a} gean Operator, *Int, J. Math. Math. Sci.* 27, (2004), 1429-1436.

[8] K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus, Differential Subordination with generalised derivative operator, *Int. J. Comp. Math. Sci*, 2(2)(2008), 75-78.

[9] M. H. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus, Differential Subordination for new generalised derivative operator, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 20, (2009),265-280.

[10] A. Catas ,On a Certain Differential Sandwich Theorem Associated with a New Generalized Derivative Operator, *General Mathematics*. 4 (2009), 83-95.

[11] W. Ma and D. Minda, "A unifed treatment of some special classes of univalent functions ,in: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren,L. Yang, and S. Zhang(Eds.), Internat. Press (1994), 157-169.

[12] W. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 57, 165-175 (1992).

[13] E. R ϕ nning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118(1993), 189-196.

[14] M. Fekete, G. Szeg, Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen, J. London Math. Soc. 8 (1933) 85-89.

[15] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, Applications of fractional calculus to parabolic starlike and uniformly convex functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 39 (3–4)(2000) 57-69.

[16] M.Darus, I. Faisal and M.A.M. Nasr, Differential subordination results for some classes of the family $\zeta(v,\theta)$ associated with linear operator, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, MATHEMATICA, 2(2) (2010), 184-194.

[17] M.A. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus. Differential subordination defined by new generalised derivative operator for analytic functions, Inter. Jour. Math. Math. Sci. 2010 (2010), Article ID 369078, 15 pages.

[18] S. F. Ramadan and M.Darus. Generalized differential operator defined by analytic functions associated with negative coefficients, Jour. Ouality Measurement and Analysis, 6(1) (2010), 75-84. 59.

[19] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus. On univalent function defined by a generalized differential operator. Journal of Applied Analysis (Lodz), 16(2) (2010), 305-313.

[20] Aisha Ahmed Amer, Second Hankel Determinant for New Subclass Defined by a Linear Operator, Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016, Chapter 6.

[21] Aisha Ahmed Amer, Properties of Generalized Derivative Operator to A Certain Subclass of Analytic Functions with Negative Coefficients, 2017، جامعة بنغازي، كلية التربية، المجلة الليبية العالمية